

# Math 254B Lecture 23 Notes

Daniel Raban

May 22, 2019

## 1 Iterated Function Systems

### 1.1 Similitudes and iterated function systems

**Definition 1.1.** A **similitude** in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with some  $r > 0$  such that  $|\Phi x - \Phi y| = r|x - y|$  for all  $x, y$ . A similitude is **contracting** if  $r < 1$ .

Similitudes take the form  $\Phi(x) = rUx + a$ , where  $U$  is orthogonal.

**Definition 1.2.** An **iterated function system (IFS)** is a finite sequence  $\Phi = (\Phi_i)_{i=1}^k$  of contracting similitudes.

**Remark 1.1.** We can construct a new IFS by composition: Given  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in [k]^n$ , Let  $\Phi_w = \phi_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{w_n}$ , This gives a new IFS  $(\Phi_w)_{w \in [k]^n}$ .

### 1.2 The Hausdorff metric and existence of attractors

Our usual examples, Cantor sets, the von Koch curve, and the Sierpiński carpet, can be constructed from iterated function systems.

**Theorem 1.1.** For every IFS  $\Phi = (\Phi_i)_{i=1}^k$ , there exists a unique nonempty compact  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  (called the **attractor** of  $\Phi$ ) such that  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Phi_i[K]$  Moreover, if  $L$  is nonempty, compact, and  $\Phi_i[L] \subseteq L$  for all  $i$ , then

$$\bigcup_{w \in [k]^n} \Phi_w[L]$$

decreases to  $K$ .

The idea to prove this is to use the Banach contraction mapping theorem. So we should define  $\mathcal{S} = \{L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d : L \neq \emptyset, L \text{ compact}\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Define the **Hausdorff metric** on  $\mathcal{S}$  as

$$\rho_H(K, L) := \inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : K_\delta = \bigcup_{x \in K} B_\delta(x) \supseteq L, L_\delta \supseteq K \right\}.$$

**Lemma 1.1.**  $\rho_H$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* For the triangle inequality, use the following: if  $L \subseteq K_\delta$  and  $K \subseteq M_\varepsilon$ , then  $M_{\varepsilon+\delta} \supseteq K_\delta \supseteq L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.**  $(\mathcal{S}, \rho_H)$  is complete.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(K_n)_n$  is Cauchy. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ , we have  $J_n \subseteq (K_m)_\varepsilon$  and  $K_m \subseteq (K_n)_\varepsilon$ . From this, conclude that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , either:

- there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x) \cap K_n \neq \emptyset$  for all sufficiently large  $n$
- for all  $\delta > 0$ , we have  $B_\delta(x) \cap K_n = \emptyset$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

The set of points for which the latter condition holds are the limit set. This set is bounded, and the complement, the set obeying the former condition, is a union of open sets. Now use compactness.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** Given  $\Phi = (\Phi_i)_{i=1}^k$ , define  $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  by  $\tilde{\Phi}(K) = \bigcup_i \Phi_i(K)$ . Then  $\tilde{\Phi}$  contracts  $\rho_H$ .

*Proof.* If  $K \subseteq L_\varepsilon$ , then  $\Phi_i[K] \subseteq (\Phi_i[L])_{r^*\varepsilon}$ , where  $r^* = \max_i r_i < 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\Phi}^i[K] \subseteq (\tilde{\Phi}[L])_{r^*\varepsilon}$ . so  $\rho_H(\tilde{\Phi}[K], \tilde{\Phi}[L]) \leq r^* \rho_H(K, L)$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove the existence theorem.

*Proof.* Attraction is if and only if  $K = \tilde{\Phi}(K)$ . Now use the Banach contraction mapping theorem. For any other  $L \in \mathcal{S}$ , we get  $\rho_H(K, \tilde{\Phi}^t(L)) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . If  $L \supseteq \Phi_i[L]$  for all  $i$ , then  $L \supseteq \tilde{\Phi}[L] \supseteq \dots \supseteq \tilde{\Phi}^t[L]$  for all  $t$ . So  $\lim_t \tilde{\Phi}^t[L] = \bigcap_t \tilde{\Phi}^t[L]$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Coding maps

Consider again an IFS  $\Phi = (\Phi_i)_{i=1}^k$ . Given  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in [k]^n$ , then we define  $\Phi_w := \Phi_{w_1} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{w_n}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** If  $\omega \in [k]^\mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $\Phi_{\omega|_1^n}(x)$  converges to a limit  $\pi(\omega)$ , independent of  $x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r^* = \max_i r_i < 1$ . If  $D$  is a big enough closed ball, then  $\Phi_D \subseteq D$  for all  $i$ . Consider  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $w \in [k]^n$ , we get  $|\Phi_w(x) - \Phi_w(y)| \leq (r^*)^n |x - y|$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in D$ , then any  $\Phi_v(x)$  is still in  $D$ . So

$$|\Phi_{\omega|_1^n}(x) - \underbrace{\Phi_{\omega|_1^m}(x)}_{=\Phi_{\omega|_1^n} \circ \Phi_{\omega|_{n+1}^m}(x)}| \leq (r^*)^n (\text{diam}(D)).$$

So this is a Cauchy sequence and hence converges. We also get that the limit is independent of  $x$ .  $\square$

Here is another consequence: If  $\omega, \omega' \in [k]^\mathbb{N}$  with  $\omega|_1^n = \omega'|_1^n$ , then

$$|\pi(\omega) - \pi(\omega')| = \lim_t |\Phi_{\omega|_1^t}(x) - \Phi_{\omega'|_1^t}(x)| \leq (r^*)^n \text{diam}(D).$$

**Definition 1.4.** We refer to  $\pi : [k]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as the **coding map**.

On  $[k]^\mathbb{N}$ , define  $\Psi_i : [k]^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [k]^\mathbb{N}$  sending  $\omega \mapsto i\omega$  (append  $i$  to the beginning of the infinite word). This is a symbolic version of  $\Phi_i$  because

$$\pi \circ \Psi_i(\omega) = \pi(i\omega) = \lim_t \Phi_i \circ \Phi_{\omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{\omega_t}(x) = \Phi_i(\pi(\omega)).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [k]^\mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_i} & [k]^\mathbb{N} \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ K & \xrightarrow{\Phi_i} & K \end{array}$$

You can think of  $\pi(\omega)$  as sending an “address” to its corresponding point.

**Remark 1.2.**  $\pi$  need not be injective; i.e. a point can have multiple addresses.

## 1.4 Conditions for iterated function systems

**Definition 1.5.** We say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the **strong separation condition (SSC)** if for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\Phi_i[K] \cap \Phi_j[K] = \emptyset$ .

$\Phi$  satisfies the SSC iff  $\pi$  is injective.

**Example 1.1.** The IFSs generating Cantor set and Cantor dust satisfy the SSC.

**Example 1.2.** The IFSs generating the Sierpiński carpet and the von Koch curve do not satisfy the SSC.

**Definition 1.6.** We say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the **open set condition (OSC)** if for there is a nonempty open set such that  $\Phi_i[U] \subseteq U$  for all  $i$  and such that when  $i \neq j$ , then  $\Phi_i[U] \cap \Phi_j[U] = \emptyset$ .

$U$  may not contain  $K$ .

**Example 1.3.** The IFSs generating the Sierpiński carpet and the von Koch curve satisfy the OSC.